

10/08/2025

Notice Issued to Parties

The Court will address Plaintiff's Memorandum filed October 8, 2025 at the Telephonic Attorney Conference set October 30, 2025. Plaintiff noticed by first class mail. Defendant e-noticed. da

Noticed:White, Mark

Noticed:Bowers, Kendall Blair

Noticed:Griffin, Zachary Robert

Sent To:White, Mark

Sent To:Bowers, Kendall Blair

Sent To:Griffin, Zachary Robert

10/08/2025

Notice Issued to Parties

The Court will discuss the Plaintiff's Memorandum filed October 8, 2025 at the Telephonic Attorney Conference that is set October 30, 2025. The Court erred in it's Notice Issued to Parties stating that Plaintiff's Memorandum would be addressed. Plaintiff noticed by first class mail. Defendant e-noticed. da

Noticed:White, Mark

Noticed:Bowers, Kendall Blair

Noticed:Griffin, Zachary Robert

Sent To:White, Mark

Sent To:Bowers, Kendall Blair

Sent To:Griffin, Zachary Robert

**IN THE MONROE CIRCUIT COURT 6**

MARK WHITE, Plaintiff

v.

THE TRUSTEES OF INDIANA UNIVERSITY, Defendant

Cause No. 53C06-2508-CT-002221

**PLAINTIFF'S NOTE OF CLARIFICATION**

Plaintiff respectfully submits this brief note for the record.

1. Plaintiff thanks the Court for its attention to this matter and for the care shown in correcting its earlier docket language on October 8, 2025. That diligence is appreciated.
2. Plaintiff does not wish to burden the Court unnecessarily. This dispute concerns a narrow factual matter—whether certain publicly taught instructional materials accurately represent the genetic code—and it could and should have been resolved privately. For more than twenty-two years the Plaintiff has sought private engagement and correction; those efforts have been unsuccessful.
3. Having trained its focus on the Court for procedural reasons, the Plaintiff now confirms that the dispute is properly directed at the Defendant. The Plaintiff's interest is to obtain truth and accountability from the Defendant, not to embroil the Court in controversy for its own sake.
4. Plaintiff has faith that this Court can reach a correct decision on the straightforward factual issues presented. The evidence necessary to resolve those issues can be brought to the Court in a clear, limited, and orderly manner. That is all the Plaintiff asks: an opportunity to present admissible evidence and for the Court to decide the matter on that record.
5. Plaintiff remains willing to resolve this matter privately at any time by agreement with the Defendant. Absent such agreement, Plaintiff asks only that the Court allow the case to proceed so the necessary evidence may be presented, evaluated, and decided.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Mark White

Mark White, Plaintiff, pro se

Bloomington, Indiana

October 9, 2025

---

**PROCEDURAL NOTE**

No relief is requested by this filing beyond placement of this note on the record. Plaintiff offers the foregoing for clarification only.

---

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that a copy of the foregoing Note of Clarification was served on counsel of record for Defendant Indiana University by electronic service through the Court's ECF system on this 9th day of October, 2025.

/s/ Mark White, M.D.

Plaintiff, Pro Se